UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/518,884 | 09/08/2005 | Catherine Rosemary Martin | 0380-P03542US0 | 3540 | |
| 110 7590 05/30/2007 DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN 1601 MARKET STREET | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | KUMAR, VINOD | | |
| SUITE 2400 PHILADELPH | HIA, PA 19103-2307 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | 1111, 111 19100 2007 | | 1638 | | |
| | | | | | |
| • | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/30/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|--|---|
| AL 45 - CAL - L | 10/518,884 | MARTIN ET AL. | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Vinod Kumar | 1638 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | ddress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Name of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the proposed reply was received on, but it does | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on |), which is after the ——· | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 | d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of | mendment which plor (3) a timely filed | aces the Request for |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | ute a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below). | mpt at a proper rep | oly, to the non- |
| (d) 🖾 No reply has been received. | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee an from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | 35). | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | s received on (with a Certification in the issue fee (are fee (are fee)) | ate of Mailing or T nd publication fee) | ransmission dated set in the Notice of |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$_ | · |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | uired by, and within the three-month բ | period set in, the N | otice of |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated |), which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire | interest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | n attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity u | nder 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | rence rendered on and becaus ms. | e the period for se | eking court review |
| 7. X The reason(s) below: | | | |
| Applicants decided to close the prosecution of this Kathleen Rigaut on May 2, 2007. | case. This was confirmed during | a telephonic inte | rview with |
| · | ANNE MARIE GRU SUPERVISORY PATENT | NBERG FEXAMINER | \checkmark |